

In going through the criteria he stated that (A) a single family dwelling is a permitted use in the "D" downtown district. (B) The property will maintain the "3 sister appearance". (C) It will maintain the open front porch appearance. (D) It is located in a mixed use area and is one of 3 identical structures. Mr. Eggleston noted the Notre Dame study identified these 3 dwellings as worth saving. (E) House spacing allows access from all sides and there is no change in surface coverage or drainage. (F) property has a single width driveway with parking for 2 cars in rear. It currently has 4 they will reduce it to 2. (G) The water usage will be reduced from 450 gallons a day to 440 gallons a day due to water saving features. There will be no increase in water or sewage usage.

Mr. Millman asked about how the house will be re-cladded. Mr. Eggleston stated only the addition will be re-sided and it will be matched to the existing. There will be no changes to the front.

Mr. Sutherland asked, if after the removal of the siding in the back, they could try to match the siding to what originally existed. Mr. Eggleston stated they could explore the options and will take that under advisement. He felt that because the other "3 Sisters" have this type of siding it might look best to keep them all the same.

Mr. Kenan made a motion to recommend to the Board of Trustees that they approve the Critical Impact Permit as requested. This is a Type II action under SEQR.

Ms. Keady seconded the motion.

The vote was 4-0 in favor of the motion. It was declared passed and the meeting was closed at 8:22

*email to Trustees
9/23/11 MJB
Eggleston*