



This is an in ground gunite pool. The deck is a cantilever deck. This drawing shows an edge that actually would not be seen. The deck covers the pool wall and is cantilevered over.

Chairman Kenan said, "The issue before the Board is the side yard setback."

Mr. Millman asked, "So lots 16 & 17 are under the same ownership today? It's an "L"?"

Mr. Welsch said, "Correct, it's an "L"

Mr. Millman asked, "Was there any thought to configuring the pool so it was a little bit behind the garage?"

Mr. Welsch said, "We certainly did look at that, but there are some trees there that we hate to remove. We are also mindful that the further we move the pool from the house the less the aesthetic value is. We have always found that the closer the pool is to the home the more it's used. We also are mindful that unless we moved it well behind the garage it still wouldn't meet setback. I didn't see a way to configure it in a way that didn't still have me in the same distance from the side line."

Mr. Sutherland asked, "Can you describe the fence once again. How high is it, what's the material?"

Mr. Welsch said, "It will be to code; 4ft. high, vertical distances that meet code. It would be aluminum, self closing, self-latching gate to code. There will be an alarm on the pool of course. Main drains are also code compliant. " He showed a picture of the type of fence he described.

Mr. Millman asked about the fence that exists currently.

Mr. Welsch said there is a very short length of 6ft. white wooden fence existing near the property line and shown on the diagram.

Mr. Millman clarified that that existing fence would not be removed.

Mr. Welsch said, No it wouldn't be removed. He only put it in to show it exists now. He said, "It also serves as a barrier to line of site so what we are doing won't be offensive to the neighbors."

Mr. Millman asked, "Has there been any conversations with the immediate neighbors?"

Mr. Neulander said, "No, but we will."

Chairman Kenan asked, "Anything else? Does anyone have a proposed motion?"

Mr. Millman said, "I move that we recommend the Zoning Board of Adjustments approve the variance as request by Mr. Neulander at 58 East Elizabeth Street for side yard setback subject to notification of the neighbor to the East.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Neulander.

The vote was 4-0 in favor of the motion. The motion was declared passed and the meeting closed at 7:46pm.