

Planning Board Meeting
July 2, 2009

Update and continuing the Parkside Subdivision approvals

Present: Bruce Kenan, Chairman
William Eberhardt, Member
Douglas Sutherland, Member

Jorge Batlle, Clerk to the Planning Board
Riccardo Galbato, Attorney for the Planning Board

Absent: Steve Krause, Member
Lauren Waite, Member

Chairman Kenan opened the meeting at 8:14pm. The discussion was about the Creekwalk and it's implementation and location. Member Sutherland said that this was going to be walked and flagged in the field with a Rotary Club guy and Gregg Sgromo or someone as to go around good trees and paying attention to the topographic features. The Chairman said, "so there's the wooden footbridge, that's interesting and aggressive...that's quite a bridge. I don't know if this matches the Hidden Pond section." Looking on the drawing there was a brief mention of the 'stabilized construction entrance.' "Creek walk crossing of water quality basin, that's this right here and there is a spillway...oh, this is the spillway and this is the patch going down," said the Chairman. Member Sutherland said, "it spills over the path on its way down to the creek."

The Chairman said, "if you want to approve it why don't you make a motion with the commentary that you just said.." All look again at the map. The Chairman asked, "what are these anyway?" Galbato said, "think they are monuments. They are labeled *MON* so the highlighted them. We are looking at a map called *Working Map Parkside Village*." The Chairman said, "I can see places where they mark corners and I see places where they didn't mark corners. So, what is the point of that? They have every intersection and corner over here then there's big spaces where there's none. I don't know what's being accomplished. We may want the surveyor or Stearns & Wheler or somebody to look at this and decide if it makes sense. Look at this, they've got every intersection and every corner staked over here. Then all these are not. And over here there's nothing." Galbato said, "these are already sold so that may be why they are not touching those anymore. What our concern is – is there enough monumentation?"

The Chairman said, "why don't we turn this over to the engineers to decide and why don't we act on the creek walk itself? Does that make sense?"

Member Sutherland said, "I guess what we are doing here is (MOTION) **approving the general creek walk design dated 4-29-09 with the understanding that the conceptual path shown on the Site Plan will be used as a guide but that the actual path will be stakes in the field, avoiding high quality trees and topographic problems that one would find actually walking the site. The intention of the Planning Board is to take the offer previously made by the Rotary Club to treat this as a project so that the volunteer group would work with**

the developer in the final locations and would provide additional services beyond those required by the developer consistent with the approval that was given for the project.”

The Chairman asked, “so the staking in the field would be done jointly by the Rotary Club and the developer? Member Sutherland said, “the Rotary Club and the developer.”

Seconded by Member Eberhardt. The vote was 3-0 in favor of the motion. The meeting was closed at 8:52pm.